Response in Reissue Application

Reissue Application (10/714,477)

Remarks

Claims 1-9, 11 and 13 remain in this application. In the action dated December 15, 2005,

claims 1-9, 11 and 13 were allowed and claims 10, 12 and 14 were rejected. Claims 10, 12 and

14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written

description requirement.

Applicants appreciate the indication that claims 1-9, 11 and 13 are allowed.

Claims 10, 12 and 14 have been canceled without prejudice and without any adverse

admissions with respect to the Examiner's stated rejections.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence

of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or

concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, there may be reasons for

patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally,

nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any

claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not

necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

A Supplemental Declaration for Reissue Patent Application to Correct "Errors" Statement

(37 CFR 1.175) is also submitted herewith.

If the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this paper, please contact the

undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael **Y**. Nieberding

Reg. No. 39,316

THOMPSON HINE LLP

2000 Courthouse Plaza NE

10 West Second Street

Dayton, Ohio 45402-1758

Telephone (513) 352-6719

573975

Facsimile: (937) 443-6635

Page 6 of 6